

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,207	12/02/2005	Dirk Kothen	4100-374PUS	4772
27799	7590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	T A 11 - 4/)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/559,207	KOTHEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Trevor McGraw	3752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication may not request that any objection to the	or election requirement. er. eepted or b)⊡ objected to by the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/559,207

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Page 5 through the first paragraph of Page 7, filed 08/17/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 6-10 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Marsch et al. (DE 2746901).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marsch et al. (DE 2746901).

In regard to claims 6-9, Marsch et al. teaches a fuel injection nozzle comprising a housing (1) where the housing has a needle bore (2) having a central axis (Figure 1) and a lower portion (Figure 1) with a needle seat (6) where an axial dimension of the lower portion is substantially smaller than the axial length of the bore (Figure 1) where an outside surface (Figure 1) is radially spaced from the center axis. Marsch et al. also teaches a cooling duct (12) being arranged around the lower portion of the bore (2) where the cooling duct is closer to the bore (2) than the outside surface of the housing

Art Unit: 3752

(1), where the cooling duct (12) has a cross-sectional area in a plane through the central axis and a cross sectional area having a height in the axial direction and a width transverse to the axis where the width is less than the height and where the cooling duct further extends axially as far as the needle seat (6) [(Figure 1)]. Marsch et al. further teaches, an entire height of the cooling duct (12) being arranged at the lower portion of the proximate the needle seat (Figure 1) and a cooling medium inflow line (15) having a first portion extend axially in the housing and a second portion connecting the first portion to the cooling duct (12) [(Figure 1)], where the cross sectional area of the cooling duct (12) is at least approximately twice the cross sectional area of the cooling medium inflow line (15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marsch et al. (DE 2746901).

In regard to claim 7 and 8, Marsch et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the width of the cooling duct (12) is 0.1 to 0.9 times the height. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide for the width of the cooling duct (12) to be 0.1 to 0.9 times the height of the cooling duct (12), since applicant has not disclosed how making the cooling duct (12) width 0.1 to 0.9 times the height solves any stated

Application/Control Number: 10/559,207

Art Unit: 3752

problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the width and height being the same ratio in regard to width and height.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor McGraw Art Unit 3752

TEM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5